PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2004/051542 19.07.2004 18.07.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC E05B65/32 **Applicant** INTIER AUTOMOTIVE CLOSURES S.P.A. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

PEREZ MENDEZ, J

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4091



10/561202 IAPO REC'OPCT/PTO 19 DEC 2005

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051542

_	Box	(No	. I Basis of the opinion	
1.			gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in uage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
		lang	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With nec	h reg essa	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	a. ty	ype (of material:	
	[a sequence listing	
	Ε	-	table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format of material:			
	[in written format	
			in computer readable form	
	c. ti	me d	of filing/furnishing:	
			contained in the international application as filed.	
	מ] 1	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
) 1	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.		has cop	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ropriate, were furnished.	
4.	Add	lition	al comments:	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051542

_	Box	x No. II	Priority					
1.	Ø	The fol	lowing document h	nas not bee	en furnishe	d:		
	☐ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).							
			translation of the	earlier appl	lication who	ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).		
		Consecution Consecution	quently it has not b neless been establ	een possib ished on th	ole to consi le assumpt	der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.		
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.							
3.	Add	ditional o	bservations, if nec	essary:				
3.	Add	ditional o	bservations, if nec	essary:				
3.	Add	ditional o	bservations, if nec	essary:				
	Box	k No. V	Reasoned state	ement und	er Rule 43	Bbis.1(a)(I) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement		
	Box	k No. V	Reasoned state	ement und	er Rule 43 explanatio	bbis.1(a)(I) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement		
1.	Box ind	k No. V ustrial a	Reasoned state applicability; citat	ement und	explanatio	Sbis.1(a)(I) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement		
1.	Box ind	k No. V ustrial a	Reasoned state applicability; citat	ement und ions and e	explanatio	ns supporting such statement		
1.	Box indi	k No. V ustrial a	Reasoned state	ement und ions and e	explanatio Claims Claims	ns supporting such statement 5		
1.	Box indi	x No. V ustrial a tement /elty (N)	Reasoned state	ement und ions and e Yes: No:	explanatio Claims Claims	ns supporting such statement 5		
1.	Box indi Star Nov	k No. V ustrial a tement /elty (N)	Reasoned state	ement und ions and e Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	ns supporting such statement 5 1-4,6		

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

1. The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US-A-6 113 161 (HUESSLER BERTHOLD ET AL) 5 September 2000

D2: US-A-3 666 305 (SCHLICHTER WILLIAM L) 30 May 1972

D3: EP-A-0 534 076 (TAKIGEN MFG CO) 31 March 1993

D4: US-A-4 203 621 (NOEL JEAN P ET AL) 20 May 1980

2. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 (see col. 4, line 17 - line 38; Figs. 1-4) discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

a lock for a door of a motor vehicle, comprising a closing mechanism designed to co-operate with a lock striker (4), said closing mechanism comprising:

- a fork (2) which can assume an opening position, in which it enables engagement and disengagement between said lock striker (4) and a seat (3) thereof, and a closing position, in which it withholds said lock striker (4) within its seat (3) and prevents disengagement thereof; and
- a dog (7, 10), which can be coupled via snap-action with said fork (2) for blocking it in a releasable way in said closing position;

whereby said lock comprises auxiliary lever means (17), which can be actuated by said fork (2) during coupling with said lock striker (4) for exerting an action of thrust on said dog (7, 10) and causing it to couple with the fork (2) itself.

Consequently, the features of claim 1 are known from D1.

2.2 Also the locks described in D2 (see col. 5, line 11 - line 39; Figs. 5-7) and D3 (see col. 4, line 17 - line 25; Figs. 1-4) comprise all the features of independent claim 1, and are thus novelty destroying documents for claim 1.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/051542

3. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-6

Dependent claims 2-6 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT), because the subject-matter of these claims is also known from the cited documents (see D1-D4 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report) or are merely a matter of normal design procedure.